## Design Issues for a 50 W VHF/ UHF Solid State RF Power Amplifier
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## Who Is K9LA?

J First licensed in October 1961 as WN9AVT

- Selected K9LA in 1977
- Enjoy propagation, DX, contests, antennas, vintage rigs
, RF design engineer by profession (mostly RF power amplifiers)
- BSEE 1969 and MSEE 1972 from Purdue University
- Motorola Land Mobile 1974 to 1988 (Schaumburg and Fort Worth)
- FM Power Amplifiers from 30 MHz to 512 MHz at 30 W to 100 W
- Patent us4251784 Apparatus for Parallel Combining An Odd Number of Semiconductor Devices
- Raytheon (formerly Magnavox) 1988 to Oct 2013 (Fort Wayne)
- Power Amplifiers from 30 MHz to 2 GHz and from 10 W to 1 KW
- Constant envelope waveforms (for example, FM) and non-constant envelope waveforms (for example, OFDM)
- Patent 20040100341 MEMS-Tuned High-Power High-Efficiency Wide-Bandwidth Power Amplifier


## Introduction

- A linear RF power amplifier (PA) takes a little signal and makes it bigger without losing fidelity
theoretically!
This presentation discusses several design issues for a very broadband 50 Watt power amplifier
- This presentation is not a construction project
- This presentation does not discuss all the issues tied to RF power amplifier design
- There are books that do this
- Cripps, Kenington, Dye \& Granberg, etc


## My Work With Transistors

- 1974-1988: BJTTs (bipolar junction transistors)
- My early days at Motorola - wow, 6 dB gain at 450 MHz!
- 1988-2000: Vertical MOS (metal oxide semiconductors)
- 2000-2010: Lateral MOS (LDMOS)
- More gain than Vertical MOS, better thermal interface
- About \$1/watt when I retired in October 2013

2010-2013: GaN (gallium nitride)

- Less dispersion of output parameters vs freq
- Easier to match over wide range of frequencies
- Depletion mode - need negative gate voltage - Voltage sequencing required
- About \$4/watt when I retired in October 2013

- Summary for my designs
- LDMOS in PAs below 1 GHz and narrow band PAs up to 2 GHz
- GaN for wideband PAs from 30 MHz to 2 GHz


## Broadband Design

, Let' s look at a 50 W PA from low VHF thru high UHF for a multitude of waveforms

- 50 W means 50 W PEP (Peak Envelope Power) capability
- 50 W continuous (slow CW) if heat sink and power supply are adequate
- 50 W PEP for SSB
- 12.5 W carrier for AM (AM peak-to-carrier ratio $=6 \mathrm{~dB}$ )
- Use a BLF645 push-pull LDMOS transistor
- From NXP (formerly Philips)
- Suitable for $6 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 1.25 \mathrm{~m}, 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 33 cm amateur bands ( $50-928 \mathrm{MHz}$ )


## Design Decisions

- Idg
- Class AB for decent linearity (for non-constant envelope waveforms) with reasonable efficiency
- How far into Class AB?
- Other classes (A, B, C, D, E, F, F-1, S, etc) - not addressed
- Drain-to-gate feedback
- Reduce gain at low-frequencies for improved stability
- Reduce dispersion of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{in}}$
- Flatten gain across operating bandwidth
- Desired load impedance
- To meet output power, efficiency and linearity goals


## Idq from ADS

, Use Agilent's ADS (Advanced Design System) to simulate Id Vs Vg


## Feedback

」 I' ve always bellieved it's a good idea to use some feedback to improve low frequency stability
」 I usually used drain-to=aate feedback


- Sometimes need additional series R at gate or shunt $R$ at gate for stability


## Input Impedance from ADS

- Going to be low
- Idg and feedback play important role
- Would like small dispersion of input impedance vs frequency
- tight impedance arc

Look at combinations of

- Feedback R
- none (infinite $\Omega$ ), $800 \Omega, 200$ Q
- Idq (each side)
- light $\mathrm{AB}(100 \mathrm{~mA})$, medium AB ( 500 mA ), heavy $\mathrm{AB}(1.5 \mathrm{~A})$

includes both sides of transistor and ideal 1:1 xfmrs



## Caveat on Input Impedances

- They are s-parameters
- Gates driven with small signal
$\checkmark$ BLF645 load impedance set to $50 \Omega$ each side
- $100 \Omega$ drain-to-drain
- Actual desired load $\sim V^{2} /(2 \times$ Pout $)=13 \Omega$ each side $=26 \Omega$ drain-to-drain (at low frequencies)
Output load impedance affects input impedance When bias BLF645 to ~ 3 A drain current (emulating large signal) and terminate BLF645 with $26 \Omega$ drain-todrain, 'large signal' input impedances are very similar to 'small signal' input impedances
- Sometimes two "wrongs" make a "right" !


## Input Network Design

- For $200 \Omega$ feedback and 500 mA Idq each side
$-Z_{i n}$ vs Frequency centered around $10 \Omega$
$\checkmark 41$ transformer is a good starting point
-Don't put right at the body of the transistor
- Use a little bit of series L (in conjunction with shunt C) to step up the BLF645 input impedance at the higher frequencies
- BLF645 $Z_{i n}$ decreases with increasing frequency


## Output Network Design

- What load impedance does the BLF645 want to see to meet output power, efficiency and linearity goals?
, Do load-pull in ADS with $200 \Omega$ feedback and Idg $=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ (each side)
T Definitions
- Pdel is power delivered to load (in dBm)
- PAE is power added efficiency (in \%)
- PAE $=$ Pdel - Pin $\times 100$
Vd x Id


## ADS Load-Pull



LoadTuner varies impedances over desired range while recording Pdel and PAE

## PAE/Pdel Data



- Frequency is 50 MHz
- $\operatorname{Pin}=+23 \mathrm{dBm}$
- $Z_{0}$ of Smith chart is $10 \Omega$
- Max Pdel is +48.09 dBm
- Max PAE is $62.69 \%$
- Max Pdel and PAE usually don't occur at the same load impedance
- Decision - design for max Pdel
- $Z_{\text {desired load }}=21.5-\mathrm{j} 6.8 \Omega$
- PAE at max Pdel ~ 50\%
- Pdel at max PAE ~ 45 dBm


## Desired $Z_{\text {load }}$ vs Frequency

- Do load-pujl at other frequencies



## ADS Model: PWB and Schematic



## PWB + Schematic: Input



Looking into input RF connector with $12.4 \Omega$ gate-to-gate chip resistor substituted for BLF645

## excellent correlation

## PWB + Schematic: Output



Looking into output RF connector with $12.4 \Omega$ drain-to-drain chip resistor substituted for BLF645

## excellent correlation

## Small-Signal Comparison Simulated vs Measured

S21
Small-Signal Gain
$V d d=24 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Idq}=500 \mathrm{~mA}$ each side

good correlation overall
'PA CCA PWB' is measured breadboard
'Fig 3 App Note' is data from NXP app note
'Simulation' is from ADS model

S11

decent correlation between 'PA CCA PWB' and 'Simulation'

## Large-Signal Comparison Simulated vs Measured

## at 28 V


excellent correlation

decent correlation

## Large-Signal Performance Measured Data

at 28 V

| freq | Pout | Pin | $\underline{\text { Gain }}$ | $\underline{\text { Current }}$ | $\underline{\text { Eff }}$ | $\underline{2 f}$ | $\underline{3 f}$ | $\underline{\text { Input RL }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 50 MHz | 47.5 dBm | 23.3 dBm | 24.2 dB | 5.45 A | $36.8 \%$ | -43 dBc | -13 dBc | 12.2 dB |
| 145 | 47.5 | 24.6 | 22.9 | 5.21 | 38.5 | -64 | -14 | 7.5 |
| 225 | 47.5 | 22.4 | 25.1 | 4.05 | 49.6 | -36 | -17 | 9.0 |
| 440 | 47.5 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 3.46 | 58.0 | -54 | -26 | 8.2 |
| 915 | 47.5 | 29.5 | 18.0 | 6.12 | 32.8 | -38 | -45 | 14.4 |

this is the breadboard

## BLF645 Design Implementation


schematic and breadboard photo from NXP App Note AN10953

## Caveat On Simulation

- Simulation results are only as good as the models used
- Chip capacitor example - 300 pF ATC 100B ( 100 mil cube)
- Very simple model - series C

- Better model - series RLC
 $\mathrm{R}=0.1 \Omega, \mathrm{~L}=0.5 \mathrm{nH}$
- Best model - from network analyzer measurement of cap
blue is measured
red is simulated

both images from Mark Walker KB9TAF presentation at an inter-company RF symposium



## Other Components, and IMD

$\checkmark$ Resistors

- Series R-L is usually sufficient
- Generally not in the RF path
- Inductors
- Might be some inter-winding capacitance at the higher frequencies
, RF transformers
- Used transmission-line transformers (TLT)
- Modeled with a length of coax
- Impact of ferrite modeled as inductance of outer conductor
- S-parameter measurement - we called it 'magnetizing inductance


1:1 balun

- Areas of concern: transistor model, impact of ferrite on xfmrs
- Use results cautiously - rule of thumb for medium Class AB - if PEP of waveform is at P1dB, 2-tone IMD ~ - 25 dBc or AM distortion ~ 5\%


## More Simulation Comments

, What if you don't have simulation capabilities?

- Design the old-fashioned way
- Start with data sheet impedances
- Play around on the bench a lot - as Ed Paragi WB9RMA and I dijd in our early PA design days
- Simulation allows you to look at many "what if" scenarios in a short amount of time
- The model can be used for trends, and if it's good enough it can be used for absolute results (usually the model of the transistor is the limiting factor)


## Simple Characterization of a PA

The November 2015 OST had a Product Review of a 6 m amplifier - showed a plot of Pout vs Pin

- Better way to characterize a PA - plot Gain vs Pout


- Gain vs Pout tells class of operation (flat gain is A or medium/high AB), tells gain (from plot), shows compression, and indicates efficiency (A is least efficient)
- Gain vs Pout indicates linearity (flat gain best, gain expansion not good)


## Summary

- Discussed design issues for a broadband VHF/UHFF PA

」 More work needed for complete design

- Pin from 0.2-1.0 W: could add gain compensation
- Power supply: 28 V at 7 A
- Heat sink: max dissipation $=120 \mathrm{~W}$ need to get the heat out!
- 5 harmonic filters: push-pull eases $2 f$ rejection (see slide 22)
- J/R Switch: relays easiest, could use PIN diodes
- Directional coupler on output: $P_{\text {fwd }}$ and $P_{\text {reff }}$, use $P_{\text {fwd }}$ for ALC Harmonic filters, T/R Sw and dir cplr add loss
- Simulation is a big help, but bench performance determines success or failure
- Accurate models give accurate simulations
- Thanks to WB9RMA and KB9TAF for comments to these slides

